

Sociology in Switzerland

Politik und Parteien im Wandel

Educated, Urbanized – and Narrow-minded?

A Comparative Analysis of Political Ideology in Local Parties

Hans Geser

May 2009

Abstract

This paper aims to explore the strength and causal determinants of ideological thinking within political parties. The degree of “ideologization” includes two dimensions: “horizontal couplings”, as they are manifested in intercorrelations between different beliefs or opinions; and “vertical couplings” as they appear in the degree to which specific beliefs are related to more abstract concepts like “left” and “right”

The results presented show shockingly high trends of ideologization at least on the left-center section of the spectrum, especially in the vertical dimension. Urbanization stands out as a major causal factor: On both sides of the spectrum, ideological constraints are significantly higher in larger than in smaller communities, and more pronounced in suburban settings than in villages far from the next city. Only in rather small communities, it is found that ideologization correlates positively with the educational level and the modern occupational background of party members, with the exposition of the local sections to the programs of supralocal mother parties, and with the number of other local parties with which they have to compete.

In communities of given size, ideological thinking is more pronounced when parties possess a small share of political power (or none at all). Finally, there is evidence that ideological constraints in party policy has increased since the late eighties of the last century, and that it may increase further because younger age cohorts are more prone to clustering issue positions tightly and for relating them closely to abstract notions of “left” and “right”.

In contradiction to most conventional wisdom, we may tentatively conclude that political thinking is more “rational” (or at least more flexible and pragmatic) at the peripheries than in the more central spheres of modern societies.

Bibliographical Citation:

Geser Hans: Educated, Urbanized – and Narrow-minded. A Comparative Analysis of Ideology in Local Parties. In: Sociology in Switzerland: Politik und Parteien im Wandel. Online Publikationen. Zuerich, May 2009. http://socio.ch/par/ges_18.pdf.

Contents:

1. „Ideology“ as an ambiguous concept.....	3
2. Left-right ideology: conceptual and theoretical issues	5
3. Local parties as a field for studying "ideological cultures".....	12
4. Research questions and Hypotheses	13
5. Data and variables	16
6. Empirical results	18
6.1 Basic ideological constraints in the total sample	18
6.2 The impact of community size and (sub-)urbanization	19
6.3 Divergences between cultural regions	22
6.4 Membership composition	24
6.5 Complexity of the communal party system	25
6.6. Political and apolitical views of community affairs.....	26
6.7 Power position of the party within the community.....	27
6.8 Programs of supralocal parties	28
6.9 Recent and current changes in ideologization.....	30
7. Conclusions.....	31
Literature.....	35

1. „Ideology”: an ambiguous concept

In everyday talk as well as in political discourses and scientific theory building, the term "ideology" has many different, even contradictory, connotations.

On the one hand, "ideological thinking" denotes a tendency

- to cling to rigid mental stereotypes instead of recognizing the reality and adapting pragmatically to situational conditions;
- to interpret and evaluate all issues according to the same monistic guidelines, instead of judging each on its own intrinsic merits;
- to identify with ready-made collectivistic opinions instead of relying on one's capacity of autonomous thought.

Therefore, there is always a tendency to attribute ideological thinking to adversaries, while exempting oneself from this stigma.

"Ideology, it has been said, is like halitosis—something other people have. Many of us believe that our adversaries are afflicted with ideological bias, but we find it difficult to see our own moral and political convictions as springing from anything other than good reason and sound evidence." (Jost et. al. 2008).

In Marxism, there is an equally negative tone that stresses the causation of ideologies by underlying economic conditions and their function to support reigning power systems by propagating systematically distorted views of society and social relations.

On the other hand, there is also a long tradition in social science to see ideology in a much more positive way: as a correlate of higher intellectual sophistication. In this view, ideological thinking is characterized by high stability, internal logical coherence and consistency, based on the capacity to relate specific issues to more abstract principles and to organize different attitudes to logically consistent wholes (Allport 1962; Converse 1964; Gerring 1997). This view gave rise to the notion that people with high political interest and expertise are most likely to structure attitudes toward political issues in ideologically consistent ways (Converse 1964; Zaller 1992; Federico/ Schneider 2007), while most ordinary citizens (especially the less educated) lack ideological thinking because their political views are found to be incoherent, volatile and logically flawed. (Bishop 2005; Converse 1964; McGuire 1985/1999).

"...the fact remains that many citizens are unable to understand and structure their preferences in terms of the abstract ideological concepts that organize elite political discussion and activity, perhaps compromising their capacity to effectively engage the political system." (Federico/Schneider 2007:222).

Following Federico and Schneider (2007), two dimensions of ideological attitude-structuring may be distinguished:

"Horizontal constraints": or "political belief consistency" (Converse 1964).

This concept relates to the degree to which issue opinions among are interrelated among each other: so that to know one attitude helps much to infer all the others. Scaling methods as well as factor-analytic and cluster-analytic approaches are useful to detect such patterns of

mutual interrelation. In this perspective, left and right (or liberalism vs. conservatism) are not ideological poles endowed with an ex ante determined content, but just clusters of issue opinions that appear inductively when intercorrelation matrices are analyzed and dimensionally reduced. This accords with Gabel and Huber who assert that methodologies aiming to place reliably political parties on the left-right dimension in a consistent manner impose no a priori meanings on the concepts of left and right. Consequently the most successful methods are those that locate parties with the "vanilla" method, which reduces ideology to a consistency constraint on parties' issue positions (Gabel and Huber, 2000: 96).

"Vertical constraints": (or "Level of conceptualization" (Converse 1964):

This concept denotes the tendency to relate specific issue opinions hierarchically to higher order constructs of ideology, e. g. one's placement on the left-right continuum (Federico/Schneider 2007: 232 passim). Such vertical patterns are manifested by measuring differences between issue-attitudes and ideological scale values, or by calculating the correlations between these two. By applying these concepts, experimental research studies have found for instance that survey respondents who are asked to think carefully about a variety of issues show greater constraint among attitudes toward these different issues (Judd and Downing 1990; Lavine et al. 1997). However, this "rationalistic" perspective has been heavily attacked by psychologists who again stress the importance of non-rational factors in the genesis of ideological thought. For instance, Federico and Schneider criticise such views as unduly "cognitivist" and stress the importance of motivational factors in processes of ideological structuring: e. g. the (situationally conditioned) subjective needs, wants and goals that promote tendencies to aggregate and constrain perceptions, opinions and judgments (Federico/Schneider 2007).

In a similar vein, Jost et al. have argued that ideological thinking may well derive its internal coherence not from logics, but *"acquires coherence and structure from psychological needs, motives, and constraints that vary both situationally and dispositionally."* (Jost et. al. 2008).

Especially "conservatism" has been widely interpreted as a highly synthetic world view that encompasses

- a wide range of political opinions all derived from two basic premises: the acceptance of inequality (Bobbio 1996; Knight 1999) and the resistance to change (Wilson 1973; Jost et. al 2003).
- an even wider range of world-views, values and attitudes related to basic personality characteristics like "authoritarianism" (Adorno et. al. 1950) , "need for cognitive closure" (Kruglanski & Webster, 1996), anxiety arising from mortality salience (Greenberg et al., 1990), "intolerance of ambiguity" (Kirton, 1978), "uncertainty avoidance" (Jost et. al. 2008) or simple "fear of change" (Rossiter 1991).

"There have been many sceptics of the notion that most people are ideologically inclined, but recent psychological evidence suggests that left–right differences emerge in many life domains. Implicit and explicit preferences for tradition, conformity, order, stability, traditional values, and hierarchy—versus progress, rebelliousness, chaos, flexibility, feminism, and equality—are associated with conservatism and liberalism, respectively. Conservatives also score consistently higher than liberals on measures of system justification. Furthermore, there are personality and lifestyle differences between liberals and conservatives as well as situational variables that induce either liberal or conservative shifts in political opinions. Our thesis is that ideological belief systems may be

structured according to a left–right dimension for largely psychological (rather than logical) reasons, including variability in the needs to reduce uncertainty and threat." (Jost et. al. 2008).

Such views lend themselves easily to the negative evaluation of ideological thinking as a weakness rather than strength: a disposition to let political attitudes and decisions be governed by emotional factors, character traits or habitualizations - factors related to the *evaluating subject* rather than to the *evaluated cause*.

While psychologists are professionally disposed to focus on intrapersonal motivations and dispositions, sociologists - even when they are not Marxists - are more inclined to include factors related to social interaction, collective group formation, society and culture. Adopting this perspective, it is fascinating to learn that, there are pronounced differences between various segments of societal elites.

First of all, it has been found that highly consistent belief systems are highly pronounced among cultural elites, while they less prevalent among elites highly involved in (political or economic) decisions.

"We also find that élites dealing professionally with ideas and values (e.g., religious leaders, leading journalists, and movie makers) have more consistent belief systems than governmental élite groups, while business leaders and military leaders exhibit the least degree of constraint." (Lerner et. al. 1991).

This regularity may indicate that high involvement in powerful roles may be incompatible with the maintenance of ideological consistency because there is too much need to do justice to each particular problem and to adapt to issue-specific and situational conditions. On the other hand, ideologies may well flourish within powerless groups who can easily cultivate consistency because they are not forced to test out whether such views could be upheld "in practice".

Secondly, it has been found that ideological thinking is more ubiquitous among human science academics than among those with a background in natural or applied sciences (Lerner et. al. 1990). This may indicate that ideological patterns are heavily conditioned by factors not related to politics at all: in this case: variables related to professional socialization.

2. Left-right ideology: conceptual and theoretical issues

While originating from mutually very distant disciplines (like sociology, psychology, sociology and political science), most studies on ideology converge in the point that ideological thinking in politics is mainly organized along a single dimension spanning between "conservative vs. "liberal" or "left" vs. "right".

A wealth of empirical evidence shows that the left-right axis is almost ubiquitously salient in two ways in a wide range of different countries: as a *polarity* that structures bipolar political conflicts and as a *continuum* that allows differentiated comparisons on an ordinal or interval scale (Castles & Mair 1984; Gross & Sigelman 1984; Janda 1980; Laver & Budge 1993; Warwick 1992). Thus, most citizens in most developed democratic countries (including the United States) are willing and able to place themselves on the left-right dimension: a scale usually ranging from 1-10 or from 0-10. (Inglehart/Klingemann 1976; Colomer/ Escatel 2003: 3). Simi-

larly, voters as well as political elites use the LR scale for characterizing social movements, political parties, candidates, news media, issue positions, political programs and governing regimes.

It is well known that the political left-right dimension has originated in France at the time before the revolution, some weeks after the convention of the Estates General in June 1789 (Laponce 1981:47). As an intuitive variable derived from the seat order in parliament, it filled the vacuum created by the demise of estate membership as a dominant criterion of classification. Like the top-bottom model of social stratification, the LR scheme is a simple spatial metaphor that has the characteristic of being translatable in all languages and being potentially adopted by all human cultures (Laponce 1981: 27).

"Left' and 'right' are not just ideologies ... they indicate opposing programs in relation to many problems whose solution is part of everyday political activity. These contrasts concern not only ideas, but also interests and judgments on which direction society should be moving in; they exist in all societies, and it is not apparent how they could disappear."
(Bobbio 1996: 3)

The ubiquity of such one-dimensional schemes is best explained by the "functionalist" theory which assumes that the salience of the LR continuum is particularly high under conditions of high political complexity and low political information (Fuchs/Klingemann 1990).

As a starting premise, the theory assumes that most people spend little efforts for acquiring and synthesizing political information, because they have little skills to do that or no available time. However, under conditions of political democracy, all citizens are called to make decisions despite the fact that most of them are unable or unwilling to collect much detailed information on the political sphere. Given these conditions, they have a great need for simplifying stereotypes helping them to decide which parties, leaders or political programs they should support.

"In a political context, the left-right dimension represents a typical form of social cognition: When people reflect on politics, they tag themselves and others (people, groups, institutions, etc.) as 'left' or 'right'. Acting on this understanding, they usually vote for parties and candidates they perceive as being close to their own left-right placement and also usually take policy views in line with their left-right position." (Kroh 2005.)

Especially when political conditions are highly complex and non-transparent, citizens tend to rely on rather simple heuristic shortcuts in order to gain orientation and to come to non-ambiguous voting decisions with a minimum of personal efforts (Berelson, Lazarsfeld, and McPhee 1954; McKelvey and Ordeshook 1986; Neuman 1986; Popkin 1994; Hinich and Munger 1994). Such labels facilitate also political communication, because they create a common background of meaning that can be presupposed ex ante, so that it has not to be created on every single occasion.

The salience of the left-right dimension can be minimal in two party systems, because party preferences can easily be built up without referring to ideological notions. In more complex and competitive multiparty systems, however, many voters will feel a need to locate themselves on the left-right scale in order to identify the parties and candidates most akin to his own views (Fuchs/Klingemann 1990; Knutsen 1998), and parties will use ideology as an indispensable tool for "branding their products" in political campaigns (Downs 1957; Colomer/Escatel 2004).

In conformity with Converse's observation that highly educated and politically interested individuals were more inclined to think in ideological terms (Converse 1964), various more recent studies have shown that politically sophisticated individuals are better able to make use of political labels like "left" and "right" because they are better informed about their meaning (Sniderman et.al. 1991; Kitschelt/Hellemans 1990; Klingemann 1979 etc.), and that issue positions and left-right self placements are more tightly correlated when the level of political cognition is high (e. g. Inglehart/Klingemann 1976).

This *level of political cognition* has been shown to be critically dependent on political interests and activities on the one hand and the educational level on the other (Inglehart/Klingemann 1976).

"In short, the greater the sophistication of an individual, the better her capacity for capturing meanings most commonly assigned to left-right labels by that society, that is for sharing the dominant conception of left-right-terms. Second, assuming that it requires greater cognitive capacity to understand and link policy stances, as opposed to symbolic components, to left-right semantics, we should expect that, the more sophisticated the individual, the more she should define ideological terms along policy lines and vice versa for the less sophisticated." (Zechmeister 2005:11).

However, this of course presupposes that there exists a relatively consensual, culturally anchored conception of "left" and "right" in the given national society: so that it is just a question of cognitive capacity whether it is perceived adequately or not.

Nie and Andersen have found high consistency and intercorrelations among elites' responses on a wide range of issues concerning the scope of government activity enabling them to identify clear "liberals" and "conservatives." On the level of uneducated strata, however,

"there is little or no interdependence ... in mass attitudes, because mass publics have neither the educational background, the contextual knowledge nor the capacity to deal with abstract concepts that sustain an organized set of beliefs over a wide range of political issues" (Nie/Anderson 1974).

Given the high causal relevance of political interest and political communication, it is to be expected that political elites are most likely to maintain tight relationships between left-right self placements and issue positions. In fact, Eurobarometer studies have shown that within a sample of political candidates, the statistical variance explained was four times as high than within a sample of ordinary voters (Inglehart 1989: 368).¹

The astonishing permanency of the spatial left-right metaphor contrasts sharply with extreme variations of the meanings associated with these two terms during history and across different cultures. Whoever identifies leftism with socialism should well remember that in the beginning 19th century, it was mainly associated with individualism, free enterprise, national independence and - following Rousseau - an endeavour to restore a more perfect form of human society as it had presumably existed in the past (Laponce 1981: 118ff.).

¹ Similarly, Lambert et. al. have found in a large Canadian sample that respondents with low education were least able to connect left-right self placements with specific political attitudes and issue positions (Lambert et., al. 1986).

Between about 1850 and 1960, leftism was almost exclusively amalgamated to socialist and communist ideologies associated with the various labour movements - thus giving priority to questions of economic organization, class relations and social welfare. Given the long duration of this phase, several scholars have tried to identify the "invariant core" of substantive values and political issues that define difference between left and right.

While S. M. Lipset (1954) defined leftism as the fight for more equality, Downs has tied it down to governmental economic interventions (Downs 1957). A comprehensive attempt to identify the transnational semantics of left-right ideologies was made by Laver and Budge on the level of Paneuropean Manifesto data. Applying factor analysis, they have extracted 26 items defining leftist and rightist party positions. On the left side, the list includes issues like governmental control of capitalism, nationalization of enterprises, internationalism and the expansion of social services, while rightist parties were consistently characterized by an emphasis on traditional morality, law and order, free enterprise and national autonomy (Laver/Budge 1993).

In the late sixties, the civil rights movements and the student revolts gave rise to a new, more encompassing understanding of leftism (or: "radicalism"): including the perspective of extending basic standards of human rights and welfare to all kinds of discriminated population segments (like non-whites, females, gays, starving poor in underdeveloped nations etc.). In a broader sense, there emerged potent movements of "*social liberalism*" directed against all traditional values and habits that sought to prevent full individual self-determination (e.g. the feminist and "pro-choice"-movement). Within the same time period, *ecologist issues* gained increased prominence on political agendas and were incorporated in programs aiming to catalyze societal and economic change. Finally, processes of globalization and regional supra-national integration (within Europe) have given rise to new controversies where basic strategies of foreign policy are at stake.

However, there is no agreement whether all these "postmaterialist" issues become increasingly assimilated to "leftism", or whether they are the nucleus of a second (orthogonal) ideological dimension. On the one hand, there is considerable evidence that no amalgamation is taking place. Thus, Inglehart presented convincing empirical data which showed that the attitudes of the general electorates of seven European countries toward "materialist" issues and toward "postmaterialist" issues are rather weakly correlated with each other. As correlations are particularly low among younger age cohorts, it is concluded that intergenerational change is contributing to a growing independence of these two dimensions (Inglehart 1984, 25ff; Inglehart 1989: 372ff.).

Following a similar argument, Clark and Lipset suppose that rising affluence and intergenerational change contribute to a growing salience of "social value issues" which constitute "a distinct political dimension from more traditional economic or fiscal issues." (Clark/Lipset/Rempel 1993: 305).

According to Clark, a "new political culture"(NPC) is emerging, particularly among the young and better educated strata, in which "leftism" becomes

- less associated with fiscal issue positions and with the demand for a "strong government"
- more connected to "social issues" (woman's question, minority rights etc.) (Clark 1991).

In contrast to socialist collectivism, this new leftism is more associated with individualism: implying an affirmative position toward markets and private actions, and a growing skepti-

cism toward governmental bureaucracy. All these ideological changes are facilitated in wealthier environments (Clark/Inglehart 1998). In a similar vein, Kitschelt has argued that in addition to the traditional LR dimension, a second axis extending between an "authoritarian" and a "libertarian" pole is gaining weight (Kitschelt 1994).

Besides social liberalism, *ecologism* is also said to constitute a new ideological dimension less and less associated with conventional radicalism. Thus, a cross-national study (comparing the populations of England, Germany and the United States) has found that ecologist attitudes are not correlated with standings on traditional left-right issues (like governmental control) (Kessel/Tischler 1984).

Finally, Simon Hix and Fritz Scharpf claim that *processes of European integration* have given rise to a new dimension that divides those who favor this process from those who want to preserve national autonomy (Hix 1999; Scharpf 1996). They both assert that the public attitudes toward issues of European integration tend to be correlated with Left-Right positions, but constitute a distinct dimension of political conflict in Western Europe.

On the other hand, there is also evidence that attitudes toward "old" and "new" political issues are significantly interrelated, and that this linkage has not been eroded by either socio-economic development or intergenerational change.

1) Ecologism and social liberalism start from the basic premise that processes of socio-economic development have resulted in a neglect of other important values and/or in enormous negative consequences that call for compensative and/or corrective actions (on the level of governmental legislation action as well as on the level of everyday life).

2) Both ideologies gave rise to "new social movements" very similar in terms of membership composition, rhetoric, tactical behavior and internal organization. Among other similarities, all these movements relied much on informal network structures, recruited their membership mainly from younger and more educated strata (particularly from social and cultural occupations) (Kriesi 1989)

3) It cannot be denied that during the last 20 years, many Western countries (particularly in Europe) have seen the emergence of "red-green parties" which combine ecological and social liberalist standings with pronounced leftist positions in all traditional domains (e.g. in economic and social policy) (Poguntke 1987).

In a sophisticated empirical study, Weakliem (1991) has shown that the "materialist" and the "postmaterialist" dimension of political ideology show considerable values of interfactor correlations (between .40 and .60). This is quite consistent with Inglehart's findings that, at least for political elites, materialistic and postmaterialistic issue positions appear to remain more closely linked and to be components of an overarching left-right dimension encompassing economic as well as non-economic issues. (Inglehart 1984: 33).

According to Inglehart, the functional needs for organizing politics along a single "left-right"-dimension are so imperative that - in the long run at least - postmaterialistic issues will become assimilated to this dimension rather than evolving to an independent second ideological axis (Inglehart 1984: 37).

All this conforms to Sani and Sartoris view that Left and Right are just "empty containers" that can be filled with various meanings by anybody (Sani/Sartori 1981).

In her extensive study based on Eurobarometer data from 1999, Corrie Potter concludes that

*"..The **semantic container hypothesis** is strongly supported. Postmaterialism values are significant predictors of left self-placement, while material values are strong predictors of right self-placement. Thus, the post-materialism/materialism cleavage seems to have*

been incorporated onto the left right dimension in the understandings of Western Europeans. (Potter 2001: 7).

Evidently, the LR-dimension has an astounding capacity to absorb new political values, issues and strategic goals (e. g. of social movements) (Mair 1997: 26; Inglehart 1984; Knutsen 1995). However, these new aspects seem to complement and overlay the old ones without replacing them.

Some researchers dealing with the semantics associated with the LR scale have also indicated that basic asymmetries exist between the two poles. Thus, it has been found that postmaterialist values are strong predictors of left placement, while opinions on materialist are more determinative for rightist positions (Potter 2001).

In several other empirical studies, it was found that rather tight correlations between issue positions and left-right self ratings exist for the left half of the continuum, while on the right side, the explanatory power of political attitudes is much reduced. As Laponce concludes from a metaanalysis of such studies, this is true for most issues conventionally related to the LR-continuum: e.g. attitudes toward economic regulation, nationalism or gender equality (Laponce 1981: 158ff.). As the author himself has verified in a study on local parties in Switzerland, the same is also true for items related to financial policy, immigration policy or environmental protection (Geser 1992).²

In fact, there is wide agreement that the general decline of class voting has not at all contributed to a decline of such ideological factors. To the contrary, it has caused political thinking to be more determined by subjective attitudes, among which ideological concepts of leftism and rightism are still of paramount importance (Knutsen 1988; Kim/Fording 1998; Freire 2006: 367). As a consequence, the correlations between LR-self-placements and political attitudes may be become even more conditioned by individual education and individual political exposure: because individuals have to learn the exact meanings of left and right in their respective society, and they have to synthesize different values and issue positions in order to get an overall value on the one-dimensional LR scale.

While it is universally acknowledged that ideological contents vary according to the flow and ebb of different political issues, it is sometimes still upheld that such variabilities are just peripheral changes that don't affect an invariant conservative core:

"Granting that ideologies—like other attitudes—possess a high degree of malleability, we argue that it is still worthwhile to consider the psychological characteristics of conservative thought. Specifically, we propose that one might distinguish between a relatively stable ideological core of conservatism comprised of resistance to change and acceptance of inequality and more ideologically peripheral issues (such as school busing or gun control) that are likely to vary considerably in their ideological relevance across time. Because the conservative core may be grounded in powerful and relatively stable individual needs, it may persist as a deep personality structure, the surface manifestations of which might change with the tides of social and political debate." (Jost et. al. 2003: 342).

² This accords well with an earlier American study by Johnson who found that liberal clergymen were highly inclined to hold highly consistent liberal attitudes, while conservative pastors were much less consistent in their (rightist) views, His interpretation was that conservatives were politically less involved than liberals, so that they were less knowledgeable about the ideological patterns institutionalized in their surrounding political culture (Johnson 1967; Kiecolt 1988).

In the era of conventional class politics, ideological cores were sufficiently defined by stable interests deriving from the position of collectivities within the socio-economic system. In postmaterialist ages where particular interests are substituted by generalized "values", this specificity and stability has evidently been lost. Instead, ideologies become more dependent

- on subjective personal factors and character traits (e. g. "conservative" mentalities characterized by high security needs and low tolerance of ambiguity);
- on divergences conditioned by cultural traditions (as they are currently manifested in the very different attitudes of European countries toward nuclear power);
- on fads and fashions which may dominate the political agenda for transitory periods of time.

Consequently, we may well see a higher variability of "leftisms" and "rightisms" in the future, while the degree of ideological cohesiveness may well remain the same, because the same needs for simplification are persisting on the individual as well as on the political level.

However, it cannot be denied that all these different issues were too heterogeneous (and too variable) to be part of a logically consistent ideological belief system.³

Thus, the notion of "postmaterialism" (Inglehart) reflects just the fact that the new issues are not primarily related to vital interests of specific societal groups, while the concept of "new liberalism" focuses on a highly generalized attitude of "cosmopolitanism" and "anti-institutionalism" particularly prominent among highly educated younger cohorts (Bell 1979, Brint 1984). Likewise, it is not evident why these new issues can easily amalgamate with the old "materialist" contents of left and right, as it is almost impossible to find the commonalities that make them parts of a coherent ideological whole. For instance: if it's true that conservatives have an increased need for security: why are they more prone to carry the risks connected with nuclear power plants? And why are leftist parties fighting so vigorously for the abandonment of atomic energy, when this results in such cost increases for electricity that they risk to lose their traditional electorate (lower social strata). Similarly, it is not evident that attitudes toward EU membership and European integration have become a left-right issue in many countries. Why are the leftist (e. g. in Switzerland) in favor of such a project which is associated with so many developments they don't appreciate: the liberalization of trade and labour markets, the intensified fight against immigration from Southern countries; the demise of national worker protection?⁴

If highly educated strata are more prone to maintain tight constraints among opinions to all these issues: why does this indicate that their thinking is in any way more sophisticated than the less interrelated attitudes of less educated citizens? Doesn't this manifest just the contrary: that educated people are more disposed to take over ready-made collective stereotypes than to rely on autonomous individual reflection, more eager to create in-group conformity than to generate guidelines for pragmatic judgments and decisions?

³ Thus, the "new social movements" themselves never produced a coherent encompassing ideology comparable to Marxism and Socialism in the case of the labour movement. (see: Brand/Büsser/Rucht 1984: passim).

⁴ A special case here is Sweden where leftists are more EU-critical because they fear lowering welfare standards.)

3. Local parties as a field for studying "ideological cultures"

The following empirical study intends to shed a light on the actual ideological culture in Swiss politics and on its relationship to the status-characteristics of politically active populations. In contrast to the "political culture" which encompasses more basic "rules of the game", ideological cultures may be seen as more variable pattern co-varying with long-term changes of societal value systems as well as more short-term developments on the level of social movements, salient issues or attitudinal "fashions". Nevertheless, the concept of "ideological culture" implies the existence of collective political perspectives, values and goals governing the behavior of individuals and organizations that are transmitted by regular processes of socialization.

In general, average individual citizens are rather poor informants of "culture" because their thinking is heavily shaped by psychological idiosyncrasies and because they often lack the cognitive and intellectual capacities needed to perceive and interpret these collective patterns adequately.

Studying *politically active elites* may be a better approach, but it still assumes that cultural patterns are adequately mirrored in the subjective consciousness of individual minds.

By studying *groups and organizations*, more justice can be done to the basic fact that culture is primarily expressed in the outcomes of collective communications and activities: e.g. in the explicit results of discussions, negotiations and deliberative procedures, in formally stated decisions and action programs or at least in mutually recognized "majority opinions".

Political parties have particularly strong links to ideological culture because it is their job to contribute to the aggregation and articulation of collectively shared opinions, values and goals.

It is evident that in general, party groupings will tend to maintain more consistent and integrative ideological standings than individuals, because

- a) it is their job to aggregate the attitudes of their divergent members and factions into explicit action programs and specific political decisions;
- b) their visible public status sets them under high pressure to be consistent in order to appeal to potential voters or adherents.

"In modern politics and mass media-dominated political communication, it is usually assumed that, by using a simplified, encompassing ideological dimension, party leaders and candidates can transmit useful information on policy programs which can be understood by voters without paying high costs. It has, thus, been postulated that a party position on the left-right dimension can synthesize a number of party policy positions on many issues – an intuition that has repeatedly been submitted to scrutiny and empirically tested." (Colomer/Escatel 2004)

This implies that high intercorrelations between different issue opinions (like "socialism" and "ecologism") among parties may not at all reflect a similar covariance on the level of their individual members: but rather their particular success in aggregating "socialist factions" and "ecological factions" into an overarching party platform (e.g. for simple tactical reasons of winning elections).

In an even wider and longer perspective, political parties can be seen as active agents that define for everybody else in society what is the (current) meaning of "left" and "right".

"I would suggest that the process of mapping new cleavages onto the left-right dimension involves attitude adjustment by both elites and electorates. Political parties send out messages about what issue positions belong to the left and right, and adjust their manifestos to maximize votes (Sani and Sartori, 1983). Voters pick up cues from the media and elites about the consistency of positions on new issues with previously held attitudes." (Potter 2001: 6).

Local party sections have the additional virtues of being so numerous that rigorous multivariate methods of comparative analysis can be applied, and of being so low in organizational complexity that a single central member is capable of delivering all the relevant information. Switzerland is outstanding for the fact that formalized party groupings are astonishingly widespread even among very tiny municipalities (of 500 or less inhabitants), and that they control to a high degree all major political processes on the communal level (Geser et. al 1994: passim).

Given the mix between direct and representative democracy typical for Swiss politics, most of these local parties have the dual function of influencing elections on the one hand and decisions about specific political issues on the other. With a total number of about 200000 participative adherents (= ca. five percent of Swiss voters), these groupings encompass the major part of all politically active citizen in the country.

4. Research questions and Hypotheses

In the following, we want to explore some factors that determine to what extent the issue positions, goals and action courses of political parties are structured by ideological constraints.

Two aspects of "ideologization" have to be distinguished:

1) "*Horizontal constraints*" consist in the mutual interrelationships between different issue positions. In operational terms, they reach their maximum when knowing the opinion on issue A allows me to predict with certainty the opinions on issues B, C, D... to Z, and they are minimal when no predictions are possible because intercorrelations are zero. Evidently, horizontal constraints can effectively be assessed by factor-analytic methods that explore to what degree intercorrelation matrices between issue opinions can be reduced to very few common dimensions.

2) "*Vertical constraints*" are defined by the degree to which attitudes to specific issues are related to higher-order ideological concepts like "liberalism" vs. "conservatism" or "left vs. right". In operational terms: they can be assessed by the certainty and precision with which the placement on such ideological scales can be predicted when opinions on all particular issues (A,B,C.... to Z) are known. Evidently, such assessments call for multivariate regression methods that allow to measure the cumulative explanatory power of all the issues in questions.

Minimal ideologization would be defined as a totally unconstrained political standing where the opinion about each issue is generated independently and without guidance by superordinate "Weltanschauungen" or programmatic structures: on the basis of its own intrinsic merits and by adapting to particular needs and problems, current trends in the media or demoscopic surveys, and specific situational conditions. Evidently, such openness would have to be payed

with heavy loads of permanent information gathering, communication and consensus-building procedures, and it will make it difficult for a party to formulate programs and to establish and maintain a consistent and clear-cut public identity.

Theoretically, these two aspects could vary independently of each other. Thus, dense horizontal clusterings could be found without any relation to more abstract ideological notions, or issue positions may be tightly coupled to ideological concepts despite the fact that they are mutually unrelated. (This second case is easily identified by multivariate regression equations where different issue positions maintain high explanatory power despite the fact that their mutual interrelationships have been eliminated (by means of statistical controls).

However, notions like "leftism" or "rightism" always imply a combination (and positive co-variation) of horizontal clusterings and vertical couplings: especially among more educated and politically sophisticated respondents who have enough knowledge about the current meaning of ideological labels and skilled enough in processes of mental abstraction.

On a general level, it has to be expected that in contrast to supralocal (especially national) party organizations, local parties may well maintain a much lower level of ideology, because in the realm of community politics, issues are often defined as non-political problems to be solved by mere common sense or technical expertise (Vidich/Bensman 1968, Geser 2003). Nevertheless, we expect that local parties are basically also subject to the same patterns of one-dimensional left-right polarity as it is reigning in the encompassing political system.

1) We expect that a certain degree of horizontal as well as vertical ideologization is present among all political parties, and that these clusterings and constraints can be unambiguously identified in conventional terms of "left" vs. right".

2) It is to be expected that this main ideological dimension encompasses a broad range of "materialist" as well as "postmaterialist" issue positions. Other ideological dimensions (e. g. related to social liberalism, ecologism, international openness etc.) may also be found, but they are likely to be of secondary importance.

3) We acknowledge the possibility that there are asymmetries between "leftism" and "rightism" in the degree of ideologization. On the one hand, we are open for the notion that "conservatives are the real dogmatists" because they have a heightened need for cognitive structures and a decreased capacity to tolerate ambiguity and change. We recognize that since Adorno's seminal work on the "authoritarian personality" (Adorno et. al. 1950) until today, a horde of liberal psychologists have taken great efforts to denounce conservatives as "closed minds" - while asserting at least implicitly that liberals (= they themselves) are exempt from such restrictions by being more open, rational - and better human beings in most relevant cognitive and moral aspects (e. g. Tomkins 1963; Wilson 1993; Altemeyer 1998; Jost et. al. 2003; 2008). On the other hand, we take into account the thorough empirical evidence that falsifies such claims: e. g. the impressionistic historical regularity that leftist (e. g. socialist and communist) movements and parties have been forerunners in political ideologization since the middle of the 19th century, while ideologies on the rightist side (including national socialism) were mainly reactive and have remained on lower levels of coherence and consistency. In more rigorous quantitative terms, this regularity has been corroborated by several studies that have found vertical couplings between issue positions and ideological self-

placement to be much stronger on the left-to-center than on the center-to-right section of the Left-Right scale (see. Laponce 1981:158ff; Geser 1992; Potter 2001).

4) It is expected that ideologization is conditioned by various characteristics of the social and cultural setting within which parties operate. Given the notoriously low salience of ideological thinking in (especially rural) communal politics (Vidich/Bensman 1968; Holler 1981), we will expect ideology to become more prominent with increasing city size (or proximity to bigger cities) and increasing degree of "communal politicization".

On a more general level, we follow Converse, Inglehart/Klingemann and many others in assuming that the meaning of left and right is an ingredient of political culture in which not all citizens are equally involved. Therefore, take into consideration the possibility that political ideology is predominantly articulated in the very centers of society and by the more educated strata (Converse 1964; Laponce 1981: 158ff.; Gerring 1997; Bishop 2005). Consequently, especially vertical couplings should be highest in parties that operate in an urban (or suburban) municipality with a highly educated population.

5) For the same reasons, ideological constraints are likely to co-vary with party membership composition. In particular, we expect higher ideology when larger percentages of party activists stem from modern sectors of the economy and have higher educational degrees.

6) In addition to socio-economic conditions, cultural factors are also likely to affect the tendencies toward ideological constraints. Considering the results of an earlier comparative study conducted by this author, it might be expected that at least vertical constraints are more pronounced in the German-speaking parts of Switzerland than in the French and Italian region (Geser 1992). Such divergences may well be caused by the higher political autonomy of communities and the more pronounced traditions of direct democracy in the German speaking cantons.

7) We remember Lerner's finding that ideological thinking is most pronounced within marginal (e.. g. cultural) elites not involved in far-reaching (political or economic) decisions (see above). This could result from the fact that high policy involvement creates pressures to adapt pragmatically to particular problems and situational conditions, while powerlessness offers better opportunities to preserve "ideological purity" because no full "reality tests" have to be faced. By following this argumentation, it can be assumed that conditions for maintaining coherent ideological beliefs may be better when a party doesn't actively participate in executive political power, or when its share of formal power is rather small. Very high political involvement (e. g. when a party reigns with absolute majority) will be incompatible with high ideological consistency for several reasons: e. g. because such parties have to be responsive to the total population (not only to narrow electoral clienteles) and because taking concrete decisions usually imply that parties adapt to pragmatic conditions *hic et nunc*, irrespective of precedences, other political issue positions and more abstract ideological stances.

8) We expect that in municipalities of given size, ideological constraints will rise with the number of competing political parties for two reasons. First, every single party has more leeway to appeal to special electorate groups and give priority to internal purity and coherence, because it has not to take the overall perspective of the total community. Secondly - following the functional theory of Fuchs and Klingemann (1990) - parties in complex political systems

have to streamline and simplify their positions and programs, so that citizens are better able to make electoral decisions without having to gather and synthesize much information.

9) Ideological constraints should increase to the degree that local parties are penetrated by supralocal mother parties: e. g. by taking over and following their political programs. Especially in smaller communities where endogenous ideology pressures are low, such exogenous influences are likely to be pronounced.

10) Impressed by the widely articulated mantra of "ideological revivals" (Hinich/Munger 1997; McCarthy/Poole/Rosenthal 2006; Baldassari/Bearman 2007 etc.), we ask how degrees of horizontal and vertical ideological constraints change over time. Fortunately, our data sets allow detailed assessments of changes and stabilities between 1989 and 2002, because survey waves with partially identical questions were conducted at these two points of time. Additional insights in current trends of intergenerational ideological change are gained by comparing local party sections with older and younger members.

5. Data and variables

The following empirical study is based on two mailed-out surveys (conducted in 1989 and 2002) that have included all (about 5000) local party sections in all (about 2800) Swiss communities of all three linguistic regions. The questionnaires were sent by mail to the current heads of these sections. They were asked to provide information about the political goals and values of their grouping as well as on its membership composition, internal organizational structure, political activities and relationship to the supralocal party levels.

As the return was about 50% in both waves, a rather large sample of more than 2600 units was achieved: providing the basis for testing rigorously a manifold of hypotheses with multivariate statistical procedures (Table 1).

Table 1: Sample size of local party sections 1989 and 2002: according to linguistic region.

Language region:	1989	2002
German	2039	1994
French	336	399
Italian	263	262
Total	2638	2655

Given the pronounced legal autonomy of Swiss municipalities (especially in the German speaking cantons), it is not astonishing that local parties also have much leeway in their relation to the supralocal mother parties to which they formally belong. As a consequence, they are rather free to define their political standings by adapting to characteristics of their membership base and various conditions of their local setting.

Among many other questions, respondents were asked to place their party section on a left-right scale ranging from 1 (extreme left) to 10 (extreme right). As seen from Table 2, more than 95% of all participants were ready and able to provide such a judgment, and the whole range of values was actually used. Comparing the two waves, there can be concluded that - the notions of "left vs. right" seems to have increased somewhat in salience, because the share on non-respondents has considerably declined (from 4.3 to 2.9 percent);

- only minor changes have occurred in the overall distribution. In particular, extremely leftist and moderately rightist groupings have slightly increased, while sections on the extreme right have lost ground.

Table 2: Distribution of local parties on the left-right scale according to the judgment of the party president) 1989 and 2002: percentage values.

	Value on the Left-Right Scale										No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1989	1.3	5.1	11.6	10.0	13.3	18.6	16.7	14.0	3.4	1.7	4.3
2002	1.8	6.1	13.2	9.2	13.2	17.2	18.7	14.1	2.7	.9	2.9
(N =)	33	133	301	260	346	485	434	363	88	45	113
	47	154	335	233	335	438	475	360	69	24	75

Secondly, informants had to indicate the opinions reigning within their groupings on a manifold of crucial political issues known to be associated with positions of "left" and "right". "In favor" and "against" mean that a stable and considerable majority is active members are (dis)agreeing on the issue, so that the party section as a whole can follow consistently these political lines. "Mixed" means that either no secure and stable majority is existing for either position, so that there is no reliable basis for consistent political action.

Given the shifts of the political agenda, some new issues had to be included in 2002, so that only ten out of 15 issue positions can be compared over time.

Looking at Table 3, it is remarkable that the frequency distributions on most items are highly skewed. Social desirability factors may well have effected that informants were inclined to "agree" to any items proposed: so that results may have been somewhat different when alternative (negative) formulations would have been used.

As in Table 2, a closer inspection shows that no clear overall shifts to the left or the right have occurred in the critical period, While support for some leftist demands (budget expansion, closing of nuclear power plants) has increased, welfare commitments to immigrants have declined and critics of the Swiss financial sector (under heavy international attack because of its banking secrecy practices) have lost ground.

Considering the semi-nominal character of these opinion scales, nonparametric methods of analysis have to be applied.

For assessing the *horizontal constraints* (=interrelations between variables), *Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA)* seems an adequate procedure because it parallels factor analysis in reducing intercorrelation matrices to a minimum number of dimensions: with *factor scores* that indicate the relationship of each variable vis-à-vis these extracted factors, and *inertia values* that measure the percentage of total variance explained by each factor.

The *vertical constraints* are assessed by correlating issue opinions with the values of the left-right scale by using Spearman's rank correlation coefficients rho, and by calculating a multiple linear regression equation with the LR scale as the dependent variable. Using regression methods seems unproblematic because the (approximately normally distributed) Left-Right scale may well be treated as an interval variable, and because the method is known to be quite robust when nonparametric predictors are included (Labowitz 1970; Anderson 1984).

Additional data used in this study are taken from Federal census data of 1990 and 2000, and from several Swiss community surveys conducted in 1988, 1994 and 2005. In these mailed-

out surveys, the central civil service officials ("Gemeindeschreiber") were asked to provide information about the formal political and administrative structure as well as about informal political processes in their community and its relation to the supralocal political levels.

Table 3: Distribution of party opinions on 15 salient political issues 1989 and 2002 (percentage values).

	1989			2002		
	Opinion of local party			Opinion of local party		
	pos.	mixed	neg.	pos.	mixed	neg.
<i>Leftist political issues:</i>						
Empowerment of workers/employees	44	41	16	41	41	18
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	--	--	--	42	26	31
Expanding public budget for social welfare	40	31	29	52	33	15
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	43	38	19	49	38	12
More ecological restrictions on public projects	73	24	3	58	34	8
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	24	41	35	30	36	34
More efforts for achieving gender equality	77	20	3	77	19	4
More public daycare facilities for kids	--	--	--	52	29	19
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	20	50	30	18	31	51
Switzerland should become member of the EU	--	--	--	33	34	33
The Swiss Army should be abolished	--	--	--	14	20	66
<i>Rightist political issues:</i>						
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center	41	38	20	59	28	13
Reducing the tax load	63	27	10	63	23	14
Less governmental regulation	64	26	10	63	24	14
More efforts for increasing public security	--	--	--	72	20	8

6. Empirical results

6.1 Basic ideological constraints in the total sample

By tackling our first, most basic hypothesis, we explore to what extent Swiss local party sections operate under conditions of horizontal and vertical constraints, whether such constraints are coinciding with notions of "left" and "right", and whether they are equally or unequally pronounced on the left and the right half of the LR scale.

As the most conspicuous result, Table 4 shows that very pronounced constraints exist on the left side of the ideological spectrum. The dense interrelationships between the 15 issue opinions (horizontal constraints) is manifested by a very strong first factor that absorbs 46.7% of the total variance and shows positive factor loadings by all variables included. Such clusterings go along with strong vertical constraints as they are visible in the high correlation

of each issue variable with the self placement value on the LR scale and in the high cumulative statistical explanation achieved by the multivariate regression in which all issue variables are included as (mutually independent) predictors (64.5%).

Table 4: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the left-center and the center-right section of the LR scale (total sample of Swiss local parties) (wave 2002).¹⁾

Issues:	left scale section (1-6)		right scale section (5-10)		left scale section (1-6)	right scale section (5-10)
	MCA factor scores		MCA factor scores		Corr. with LR scale value	Corr. with LR scale value
	I	II	I	II		
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.561	.066	.149	.087	.65	.17
Empowerment of workers/employees	.462	.088	.273	.107	.50	.23
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.440	.117	.246	.134	.53	.25
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.422	.051	.127	.097	.51	.22
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.606	.386	.534	.324	.56	.34
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.455	.269	.370	.290	.47	.24
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.481	.111	.174	.045	.57	.13
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.284	.083	.201	.064	.36	.22
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.572	.141	.323	.142	.61	.20
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.196	.045	.125	.100	.29	.15
More public daycare facilities for kids	.453	.216	.275	.208	.46	.19
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.397	.066	.062	.058	.48	.08
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.653	.405	.489	.257	.61	.27
Switzerland should become member of the EU	.412	.136	.241	.166	.48	.21
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.613	.120	.248	.064	.64	.15
Eigenvalues	7.006	2.300	3.838	2.144		
Explained inertias	.467	.153	.256	.143		
Corrected R-square (multivariate regression)					.645	.180
(N =)	(1030)		(1190)		(1030)	(1190)

1) All data have been arranged in way that more leftist positions score higher in positive values and positive correlations indicate positive relationships with leftist positions. Thus, the values of the four items that tap rightist issues have been inverted.

On the other hand, no equivalent patterns of rightist ideology can be found. While the MCA procedure produces also a predominant first factor, its explanatory power (25.6%) as well as its scope are on a much lower level. Similarly, vertical couplings to the left-right dimension are so loose that by including all predictors in a linear regression, just 18% of the total variance in LR placement can be explained.

Evidently, our data are quite efficient to tap the ideological polarization between leftism and centrist political positions, while they are inadequate to account for differences that set centrist parties apart from the extreme right. As these results conforms with several other studies (mentioned above), they invite the question whether are caused just by a biased selection of political issues, or whether they reflect the fact that "rightism" is more defined by elements of political "style" and behavior rather than by substantive stances toward political issues and goals.

However, all speculations that the traditional LR dimensions may be challenged by other (e. g. postmaterialist) dimensions are refuted. While a second sector has been extracted in both scale sections, its profile is very weak and it is neither related to ecologism nor to social liberalism or to nationalist-internationalist aspects as they have been postulated by different scholars (see above section 2).

6.2 The impact of community size and (sub-)urbanization

If it is true that urbanized settings promote higher degrees of ideologization, we should find that horizontal as well as vertical couplings are more dense when a community is either itself urbanized (by having a large number of inhabitants), or when it is located in an urbanized agglomeration rather than in rural areas. This second aspect has been measured by asking respondents how much time is needed to travel from their community to the next larger city (with more than 100 000 inhabitants) by means of public transportation.

Looking first at the impact of community size in the left-center part of the LR scale (Table 5a), it is evident that horizontal as well as vertical couplings are less pronounced in smaller than in middle-sized municipalities, and reach maximal values in cities with more than 15000 inhabitants. In addition, some shifts in the content of leftist ideology can be observed insofar as "urban leftism" is more tightly associated with the demand for expanding the budget for culture and with negative attitudes toward tax cuts and the expansion of public security measures (while the classical socialist issue of "worker empowerment" loses ground).

However, a rather generalized tendency of leftist ideologization seems to go along with increasing city size: encompassing 12 out of 15 items in the case of horizontal coupling and 13 out of 15 issues in the realm of vertical constraints.

Inspecting the center-right part of the LR scale (Table 5b), it is evident that rightist ideologies are rather weak in smaller as well as middle-sized communities, while winning considerable ground above the level of 15000. In these larger settings, being "rightist" means particularly: favoring nuclear energy and tax cuts, and opposing public budget expansion, help for asylum seekers as well as subsidized kid daycare facilities and Swiss membership in the EU.

As a summary, we may conclude that larger city size promotes ideological structuring on both sides of the LR scale, but somewhat more so in the vertical than in the horizontal dimension.

As a next step, we want to explore whether irrespective of community size, ideologization is also affected by the degree to which a community is located in an "urbanized" or "suburbanized" setting. The travelling time needed to reach the next larger city (with more than 100 000 inhabitants) seems to be a fruitful indicator, because it taps not so much the physical distance, but the "social distance" (e. g. relevant for daily work commuting as well as leisure time interaction). In order to exclude artifact effects arising from the positive correlation between city size and "metropolitan proximity", only middle-sized communities (with populations ranging between 2000 and 5000) are included in the analysis.

Table 5: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints: according to size of community (wave 2002).

a) Left- center section of the LR scale

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores			Correlation with Left-Right scale placement		
	Community size			Community size		
	< 2000	5000-10000	> 15000	< 2000	5000-10000	> 15000
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.496	.554	.650	.58	.66	.76
Empowerment of workers/employees	.378	.521	.448	.44	.58	.41
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.362	.514	.501	.45	.59	.54
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.260	.434	.599	.38	.53	.64
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.520	.632	.623	.49	.61	.55
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.387	.480	.618	.36	.49	.64
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.394	.510	.512	.51	.57	.67
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.289	.258	.250	.31	.37	.36
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.495	.590	.560	.53	.65	.61
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.209	.192	.214	.25	.31	.37
More public daycare facilities for kids	.417	.460	.473	.40	.49	.48
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.292	.393	.585	.41	.48	.62
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.578	.658	.658	.50	.64	.68
Switzerland should become member of the EU	.431	.421	.365	.44	.50	.43
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.562	.609	.738	.61	.62	.76
Eigenvalues	6.070	7.228	7.795			
Explained inertias	.405	.482	.520			
Corrected R-square (multivariate regression)				.576	.669	.769
(N=)	(316)	(507)	(110)	(316)	(507)	(110)

b) Center-right section of the LR scale

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores			Correlation with Left-Right scale placement		
	Community size			Community size		
	Lower than 2000	5000-10000	More than 15000	Lower than 2000	5000-10000	More than 15000
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.113	.114	.337	.03	.18	.26
Empowerment of workers/employees	.204	.287	.301	.16	.28	.27
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.215	.208	.340	.21	.26	.27
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.038	.140	.489	.16	.23	.37
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.526	.514	.619	.30	.31	.53
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.391	.363	.420	.21	.22	.41
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.194	.145	.072	.13	.14	.07*
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.174	.204	.331	.16	.22	.36
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.280	.295	.428	.14	.20	.38
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.156	.116	.098	.12	.15	.24
More public daycare facilities for kids	.348	.282	.277	.17	.18	.36
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.025	.054	.307	.07*	.06*	.20
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.451	.486	.547	.23	.24	.40
Switzerland should become member of the EU	.244	.228	.348	.18	.22	.36
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.234	.223	.287	.13	.16	.12
Eigenvalues	3.595	3.659	5.200			
Explained inertias	.240	.244	.347			
Corrected R-square (multivariate regression)				.105	.182	.297
(N=)	(422)	(587)	(104)	(422)	(567)	(104)

As seen from Table 6, vertical ideological constraints are consistently decreasing with increasing metropolitan distance in the left as well as the right half of the ideological spectrum, while horizontal issue clusterings are only affected in the center-right section of the scale. Evidently, effects stemming from urbanization are not restricted to endogenous factors resulting from higher intracommunal politicization, but also from exogenous factors associated with the encompassing urbanized, sub-, peri- or nonurbanized setting in which the community is located,

Table 6: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the center-right section of the LR scale: according travelling distance to the next larger city (over 100 000 inhabitants) (only communities between 2000 and 10000 inhabitants)

Travelling time to the next big city (minutes)	Multiple Correspondence Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression of issue opinions on LR placement corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
-15	.545	.461	.299	.74	.74	.30	35 / 37
16-30	.550	.483	.295	.77	.65	.35	85 / 107
31-45	.507	.476	.271	.74	.72	.27	76 / 81
46-60	.482	.478	.225	.71	.69	.16	68 / 80
61-90	.498	.512	.249	.60	.68	.05	42 / 53
91+	.457	.458	.219	.59	.58	.10	62 / 68

6.3 Divergences between cultural regions

Switzerland is a genuine "multicultural" country composed of three regions that don't just differ in language (German, French and Italian), but in a large number of cultural characteristics that also extend to ideological levels. In a previous study (also using data from the nationwide party survey), the author has found that vertical constraints (between specific issue opinions and generalized self placements on the Left-Right dimension) were considerably stronger in German-speaking parts of country than in the two remaining ("Romantic") regions (Geser 1992). Within the theoretical framework presented here, this could well be explained by the fact that German speaking cantons provide their communities with larger autonomy, so that more genuine "community politics" (instead of mere administrative activities) take place. However, part of the divergences may also be explained by the smaller community size in certain (especially Italian speaking) regions.

By focusing on middle-sized communities, it is evident that leftist ideology is most pronounced in the German region and least articulated in the Italian speaking canton (Ticino), with the "Romandie" (= French speaking Western Switzerland) falling in-between (Table 7a).

At the same time, there are considerable divergences on the level of content. In Germanic regions, leftism is predominantly related to issues of fiscal policy and public expenses, while in the Western French cantons, questions related to worker empowerment and nuclear energy (and in Southern parts governmental regulation issues and public security concerns) are of primary importance. Looking at the right scale section (Table 7b), it is evident that while horizontal clustering is not affected by regional culture, vertical constraints are highest in the Romandie and fully inexistent within the Italian speaking population, with German Switzerland occupying an intermediary position. Again, attitudes toward fiscal and welfare policies are most tightly related to rightism in German cantons, while in the French regions, tradition-

al class related issues (worker empowerment and tax-based redistribution policies) are still occupying a crucial place.

Table 7: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the three linguistic regions of Switzerland (only communities between 2000 and 10000 inhabitants).

a) left-center scale section

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores			Correlation with Left-Right scale placement		
	Region			Region		
	German	French	Italian	German	French	Italian
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.563	.489	.678	.66	.71	.72
Empowerment of workers/employees	.570	.507	.225	.58	.69	.22*
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.564	.361	.177	.62	.43	.43
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.452	.319	.561	.53	.46	.59
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.681	.572	.290	.61	.52	.50
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.518	.341	.214	.52	.37	.34
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.529	.447	.592	.56	.68	.58
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.265	.278	.419	.38	.36	.44
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.610	.632	.290	.65	.66	.43
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.212	.218	.066	.31	.26	.02*
More public daycare facilities for kids	.448	.624	.365	.48	.47	.48
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.374	.450	.505	.48	.44	.58
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.673	.650	.564	.65	.65	.53
Switzerland should become member of the EU	.429	.394	.415	.52	.43	.40
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.634	.404	.660	.65	.57	.68
Eigenvalues	7.521	6.686	6.019			
Explained inertias	.501	.446	.401			
Corrected R-square (multivariate regression)				.678	.623	.573
(N=)	589	57	36	563	57	36

b) center-right scale section

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores			Correlation with Left-Right scale placement		
	Region			Region		
	German	French	Italian	German	French	Italian
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.174	.162	.172	.19	.27	-.28*
Empowerment of workers/employees	.347	.195	.240	.29	.34	-.06*
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.247	.147	.048	.27	.31	-.03*
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.199	.018	.002	.25	.19*	-.07*
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.503	.302	.518	.37	.15*	.01*
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.301	.346	.482	.26	.06*	-.05*
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.167	.128	.098	.17	.01*	.07*
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.226	.187	.220	.26	.06*	.03*
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.309	.168	.208	.25	.03*	.11*
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.102	.159	.225	.19	-.09*	.16*
More public daycare facilities for kids	.206	.574	.183	.24	.03*	-.10*
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.042	.179	.139	.05*	.14*	.02*
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.516	.485	.336	.31	.05*	-.14
Switzerland should become member of the EU	.202	.185	.188	.27	.02*	.25*
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.253	.107	.220	.15	.30	-.07
Eigenvalues	3.795	3.341	3.694			
Explained inertias	.253	.223	.246			
Corrected R-square (multivariate regression)				.229	.262	.048
(N=)	476	83	23	476	83	23

* Insignificant correlation ($p > .10$)

6.4 Membership composition

The well-documented empirical regularity that educated people tend to more strict ideological thinking is only partially reproduced. In small communities (with less than 2000 inhabitants), horizontal as well as vertical constraints increase dramatically with rising percentages of highly educated active members, when the total sample is considered. While this regularity may at least partially be caused by the higher educational level in leftist groupings, it does not completely disappear when the ideological direction of the party is controlled. Thus, leftist sections show much higher vertical ideologization when many members possess higher educational degrees. All this contrasts with the conditions in middle-sized communities where overall impacts of education are weaker and more concentrated to the center-right section of the scale (Table 8b).

Table 8: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in party sections with different percentages of highly educated active members

a) Communities below 2000 inhabitants

Percentage of active members with higher education	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
-15%	.384	.396	.247	.56	.57	.20	234 / 311
16-30%	.459	.468	.277	.69	.67	.09	80 / 101
30-40%	.462	.440	.244	.70	.77	.15	21 / 27
41+%	.547	--	.382	.87	.94	--	17 / 14

b) Communities between 2000 and 10000 inhabitants

Percentage of active members with higher education	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
-15%	.508	.469	.267	.67	.63	.20	192 / 215
16-30%	.544	.496	.242	.77	.70	.24	112 / 111
30-40%	.536	.480	.240	.86	.78	.44	31 / 33
41+%	.551	.515	.349	.76	.67	.35	45 / 38

Apart from formal education, we may speculate that insofar high as political ideologization is a correlate of modern urban society, we will find that it is less pronounced in parties where a large percentage of members stem from “traditional” occupations. For testing this hypothesis, we calculate the percentage of active members who are farmers or self-employed (excluding free professionals who are of course more numerous in modernized settings).

Similar to Table 8, Table 9 shows that such occupational impacts are most pronounced in the smallest communities. Here, trends toward tight ideology are highest in leftist as well as rightist party sections in which the share of traditional occupational strata is insignificant or nil (Table 9a). In middle-sized settings, however, such impacts can only be found in groupings on the center-right section of the LR scale – similar to Table 8a which shows the same asymmetry in the case of education.

These findings conform well with the argument that leftist ideologies have always been conceived as a ready-made, highly explicit constructions easy to grasp by low-educated people (e. g. unionized workers), while rightist, conservative ideologies were less formal, so that their grasping and precise definition depends more on factors of individual motivation and skills.

Table 9: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in party sections with different percentages of active members who stem from traditional occupational strata (farmers and self-employed).

a) Communities with less than 2000 inhabitants

Percentage of active members who are farmers or self-employed*	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
36+	.242	.280	.221	.17	.04	.15	30 / 85
21-35	.310	.331	.237	.44	.65	.08	30 / 62
1-20	.388	.374	.231	.68	.58	.30	71 / 65
0	.467	.414	.339	.64	.49	.33	53 / 31

a) Communities with 2000-10000 inhabitants

Percentage of Active members who are farmers or self-employed*	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
36+	.387	.438	.213	.68	.62	.12	37 / 88
21-35	.336	.374	.231	.73	.72	.19	45 / 90
1-20	.493	.445	.214	.39	.68	.20	124 / 122
0	.432	.357	.307	.47	.49	.38	123 / 41

* free professionals excluded

6.5 Complexity of the communal party system

Functionalist theories predict that the salience of the left-right dimension increases with the complexity of the political system, because it provides an easy categorical scheme for comparing and evaluating large numbers of political positions, politicians, regimes or political parties. By synthesizing their issue positions to highly structured bundles labeled as “leftist”, “centrist” or “rightist”, even less interested voters can easily make up their mind about their preferences without engaging in cumbersome information gathering and multidimensional evaluations.

While the high correlations of ideological constraints with city size may well be partially explained by the fact that larger communities tend to have more local parties, the number of parties may well be a determinative factor independent of size.

As seen from Table 10a and 10b, this hypothesis is only borne out for leftist ideology in smaller communities between 2000 and 5000 inhabitants, while no effects are visible in larger communities and in the right section of the scale.

We may speculate that in more sizable communities, ideological tendencies are sufficiently supported by other factors (e. g. the high politicization of community affairs (see 6.6) or the

higher impact of supralocal party politics (see 6.8), so that intracommunal factors like the number of local party sections is no longer decisive.

Table 10: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints of local parties according to the total number of competing local parties in the community

a) Communities with 2000-5000 inhabitants

Number of local party sections in community	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
-2	.349	.378	.249	.57	.59	.15	30 / 46
3	.475	.425	.228	.63	.61	.29	192 / 215
4	.483	.446	.251	.63	.66	.05	112 / 111
5+	.539	.467	.265	.80	.72	.40	45 / 38

b) communities with 5000-10000 inhabitants

Number of local party sections in community	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
-3	.514	.489	.341	.70	.41	.45	25 / 24
4	.552	.581	.295	.65	.51	.28	26 / 29
5	.565	.545	.290	.75	.68	.30	41 / 45
6-9	.529	.477	.291	.80	.69	.22	43 / 38

6.6. Political and apolitical views of community affairs

Especially in smaller settings, community affairs are widely considered as nonpolitical issues to be settled by common sense, technical rationality or by applying supralocal legal rules and administrative procedures: so that there is no place for power play and controversies on the level of values and goals (Geser 2003). In the United States, even larger cities have been affected by the "progressive reform movement" which reinforced nonpartisan managerial conceptions of city government dedicated general "community welfare", not to the interest of particular groupings and electoral clienteles (Kemp 1999). Under such conditions, we expect that the need for ideological structuring is much less than in settings where "real politics" like on cantonal or the national level takes place.

For tapping this variable, party heads were asked whether according to their own judgment, community issues were (overall) "nonpolitical questions". Not unexpectedly, respondents who disagreed were more likely to stem from leftist and urban than from rightist and nonurban parties, so that it is indispensable to control these two variables in order to find out whether "community politicization" is an independent causal factor.

Table 11: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints: according to (non)political interpretation of community issues and LR scale section (only communities between 2000 and 10000 inhabitants)

a) Communities with less than 2000 inhabitants

Community affairs are not political	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
Agree strongly	.288	.280	.253	.12	.39	.12	71 / 99
Rather agree	.365	.385	.235	.07	.53	.07	261 / 309
Rather disagree	.503	.472	.253	.11	.63	.11	111 / 112
Disagree strongly	.511	.418	.412	.29	.41	.23	57 / 58

b) Communities with 2000-5000 inhabitants

Community affairs are not political	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
Agree strongly	.351	.363	.247	.40	.60	.12	53 / 71
Rather agree	.448	.436	.240	.66	.59	.19	164 / 210
Rather disagree	.600	.517	.250	.78	.71	.22	64 / 66
Disagree strongly	.603	.500	.393	.70	.60	.08	31 / 30

c) Communities with 5000-10000 inhabitants

Community affairs are not political	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
Agree strongly	.344	.366	.309	.38	.21	.08	27 / 16
Rather agree	.507	.479	.287	.66	.70	.18	981 / 96
Rather disagree	.629	.626	.326	.79	.88	.17	45 / 46
Disagree strongly	.636	.598	.347	.77	.95	.28	27 / 25

Inspecting Table 11, it is evident that in municipalities of various size, the degree of horizontal ideological structuring tends to be higher when community affairs are defined in political (rather than technical, legal or administrative) terms. These trends are similarly strong in the left and the right section of the LR scale. In the vertical dimension, however, the results are much less consistent, except in the largest size category (5-10000 inhabitants) where the salience of “left” and “right” reaches maximum levels when a highly politicized interpretation of community matters prevails. In addition, Table 11 makes clear that the higher levels of ideology found in leftist parties and sections in larger communities are partially caused by the higher politicization levels of these same groupings, because divergences shrink considerably (especially on the right side), when this variable is controlled.

6.7 Power position of the party within the community

In well established democracies like Switzerland, cynical sayings like "Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely" (Baron Acton 1887) may only have limited relevance, but they may not be completely mistaken. As in all political systems, parties that without any share in political power have least difficulty in maintaining "ideological purity", because they are not involved in "compromising" decisions and because their responsibility extends just to their members and voter clienteles, not to the community as a whole.

By contrast, parties reigning with absolute majority have to shift ideological points of views into the background, because they have to adapt to all sorts of pragmatic considerations (e. g. caused by financial scarcities or organizational deficits) and because they are obliged to focus on the general welfare of the whole population.

Therefore, we expect a negative correlation between a party's share of formal power (operationalized as the percentage of seats it holds in the communal executive board) and its degree of ideologization.

Table 12: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints: according percentage of seats in the communal executive and LR scale section (only communities between 2000 and 10000 inhabitants)⁵

Percent seats in executive	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	total sample	left scale section	right scale section	total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
0%	.563	.453	.330	.75	.58	.29	77/ 51
1-15%	.551	.467	.309	.75	.58	.21	77/ 53
16-30%	.525	.496	.261	.72	.71	.15	115/ 117
31-50%	.447	.457	.250	.59	.65	.20	94/ 161
51%+	.374	.417	.228	.46	.68	.09	45/ 75

As seen in Table 12, this prediction is borne out very strongly in the total sample, but much less in the subsamples representing the left and the right section of the scale. Evidently, the total sample effect is mainly caused by the regularity that powerless parties are more likely to be leftist parties - which maintain higher degrees of ideology irrespective of any other conditions. Among rightist parties however, the expected trend seems to hold: sections have lowest levels of horizontal clustering and vertical couplings when they control over 50% (= the absolute majority) of the executive seats.

6.8 Programs of supralocal parties

Swiss local party sections enjoy a rather high autonomy vis-à-vis their supralocal mother parties which is based on formal statutes as well as on the fact that they have to rely basically on their own financial means and organizational capacities. Therefore, it is up to them to what

⁵ As parties are more likely to have a smaller power share in larger communities (because more local parties are competing), it is viable to control population size in order to eliminate potentially confounding effects.

degree they give much weight to the values, goals and programs of the cantonal and national party.

Starting again with the premise that political ideologies are mainly generated and maintained on the level of supralocal politics, we expect higher ideological structuring among parties that open themselves up to such influences, instead of cultivating their own local perspectives. As in the case of politicization, it has to be considered that supralocal orientation is generally stronger in the case of leftist and urban parties, so that ideological orientation as well as community size have to be controlled.

In Table 13 below, it is shown how horizontal and vertical constraints co-vary with the relevance attributed to the program of the national party to which the section belongs. Again, it is seen that in the case of leftist parties, horizontal issue clusterings and vertical couplings are considerably increased when the national program is given much importance, while rightist parties are less affected. This may be easily explained by the fact that rightist national parties maintain rather lose programmatic conceptions, so that local sections do not find much orientation.

Table 13: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints: according to the importance given to national party programs and LR scale section (wave 2002).

Importance of national party program	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	Total sample	left scale section	right scale section	
unimportant	.373	.377	.230	.56	.54	.17	152/213
moderate important	.485	.475	.263	.69	.66	.16	421/550
important	.591	.511	.287	.78	.69	.27	238/212

Table 14: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints: according to the importance given to national party programs and community size (wave 2002)

Importance of national party program	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias			Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			N: left / right
	-2000 inhab.	2000-10000 inhab.	10000+ inhab.	-2000 inhab.	2000-10000 inhab.	10000+ inhab.	
unimportant	.284	.429	.509	.44	.58	.60	112/111/32
moderately important	.386	.491	.603	.50	.67	.77	223/386/136
important	.556	.601	.633	.75	.78	.84	96/187/85

From Table 14, we may conclude that the salience of national programs is at the same time
 - a genuine causal factor with a strong impact irrespective of city size;
 - an intervening variable that conditions the degree to which city size is impinging.

Its strong impact in smaller cities indicates that ideologies of local parties are primarily determined by exogenous factors when endogenous municipal influences are low. By analogy, its reduced effect in supralocally orientated groupings corroborates the hypotheses that such endogenous influences are rather irrelevant when exogenous ideologization prevails. However, very highest levels of ideologization ensue when intracommunal and supralocal pressures are present at the same time.

6.9 Recent and current changes in ideologization

Given that opinions on ten (out of 15) issues have been assessed in both waves of the Swiss party surveys, changes in the degree and kind of party ideology occurring in the period 1989-2002 can be assessed at least in the realm of "stable" issues that have been salient at both points of time.

As seen from Table 15, horizontal as well as vertical ideological structuring has significantly increased on the left side of the spectrum, while on the right side, changes are weak (in the case of MCA factor clusterings) and inexistent (in the covariations between issue positions and LR placements).

Table 15: Changes in horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the left and right section of the LR scale: comparing waves 1989 and 2002 (total sample)

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores				Correlation with LR scale value			
	left section		right section		left section		right section	
	1989	2002	1989	2002	1989	2002	1989	2002
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center(inv)	.534	.567	.191	.149	.61	.65	.23	.17
Empowerment of workers/employees	.468	.469	.383	.307	.52	.50	.23	.23
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.280	.453	.042	.151	.36	.51	.15	.22
Expanding public budget for social welfare	.489	.643	.519	.570	.46	.59	.25	.34
Expanding public budget for cultural matters	.337	.474	.295	.404	.35	.47	.13	.24
Less governmental reglementation (inv)	.416	.500	.249	.188	.45	.57	.13	.13
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.173	.327	.149	.234	.22	.36	.16	.22
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.535	.576	.265	.341	.58	.61	.21	.20
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.148	.216	.146	.130	.23	.29	.18	.15
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.505	.661	.377	.494	.47	.61	.22	.27
Eigenvalues	3.89	4.89	2.62	2.97				
Explained inertias	.389	.489	.262	.297				
Corrected R-square (linear multivariate regression)					.550	.620	.172	.171
(N =)	1177	1131	1326	1283	1177	1131	1326	1283

Most interestingly, leftism has become more and more defined in terms of "materialist" aspects (especially related to governmental tax and budget policy), while the relevance of "postmaterialist" issues (like nuclear of gender policy) has not much shifted. This trend contradicts evidently any "new politics" conceptions which predict that leftism has been (or is going to be) increasingly redefined in terms of postsocialist dimensions like "ecologism", "social liberalism" and the like. A conspicuous exception is the classical socialist item of "worker empowerment" that has lost ground – maybe because traditional working class structures have eroded.

Table 16 shows that the rise in leftist ideology has taken place in communities of all size categories, while on the right side, increases in ideologization have only occurred in urban settings. In addition, the impression is corroborated that changes since the late 80ies have mainly resulted in increasing the tightness of clusterings among issue opinions, while vertical couplings to the left-right dimension have not been much affected.

Table 16: Changes in horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the left and right section of the LR scale, according to size of community: comparing waves 1989 and 2002

Community size	“Horizontal” constraints: degree of interrelatedness of 10 issue positions				“Vertical constraints”: relationship of 10 indicators to self placement on LR scale			
	Multiple Corresp. Analysis: explained inertias				Multivariate Regression: corrected R-square			
	Left-center scale section		center-right scale section		left-center scale section		center-right scale section	
	1989	2002	1989	2002	1989	2002	1989	2002
- 2000 inhabitants	.332	.420	.246	.273	.45	.53	.06	.11
2001-10000 inhabitants	.392	.505	.276	.288	.57	.66	.23	.17
10001+ inhabitants	.409	.530	.299	.394	.55	.63	.27	.32

Table 17: Horizontal and vertical ideological constraints in the left-center and the center-right section of the LR scale, according to percentage of active members below 45 (wave 2002)

Political Issues:	MCA factor scores				Correlation with LR scale value			
	left-center section		center-right section		left-center section		center-right section	
	% active members below 45		% active members below 45		% active members below 45		% active members below 45	
	<30	>70	<30	>70	<30	>70	<30	>70
Promoting Switzerland as a financial center (inv)	.615	.538	.239	.180	.67	.68	.29	.21
Empowerment of workers/employees	.482	.416	.388	.259	.48	.53	.30	.30
Higher tax rates for the wealthy	.456	.383	.354	.215	.53	.51	.27	.27
Reducing the tax load (inv)	.405	.422	.176	.200	.53	.49	.28	.26
Expanding governm. budget for social welfare	.669	.654	.665	.632	.53	.60	.43	.51
Expanding governm. budget for cultural matters	.475	.490	.341	.378	.47	.42	.28	.34
Less governmental reglementation inv	.482	.477	.177	.165	.58	.62	.17	.17
More ecological restrictions on public projects	.351	.267	.225	.372	.39	.34	.16	.46
Nuclear energy should be abandoned	.580	.594	.436	.413	.55	.61	.28	.30
More efforts for achieving gender equality	.191	.252	.121	.165	.31	.35	.15	.27
More public daycare facilities for kids	.421	.537	.280	.294	.40	.50	.21	.34
More efforts for increasing public security (inv)	.286	.478	.106	.154	.36	.54	.19	.15
Better care for asylum seekers / refugees	.595	.693	.567	.536	.49	.61	.34	.43
Swiss should become member of the EU	.305	.394	.165	.303	.44	.43	.26	.31
The Swiss Army should be abolished	.594	.619	.262	.283	.62	.67	.23	.18
Eigenvalues	6.97	7.22	4.50	4.55				
Explained inertias	.460	.481	.286	.303				
Corrected R-Square (Linear multivariate Regression)					.598	.698	.212	.310
(N =)	152	149	152	119				

Additional insights into current ideological developments can be gained by comparing party sections with members of different age. Unfortunately, such comparisons are hampered by the fact that the sample doesn't include really "juvenile" sections, because younger genera-

tions show little motivation to join communal parties. Therefore, only "middle-aged" and "old-age" sections can be confronted.

As seen from Table 17, sections with high percentage of younger members show higher degrees of vertical ideologization in both scale sections, while horizontal issue clusterings are practically unaffected. This implies that the younger generation is somewhat more prone to relate specific issue opinions to the abstract ideological axis of left-and right, so that a more pronounced polarization between leftist and rightist ideology is created. However, only a small number of issues seems to be responsible for this trend. Thus, leftism as well as rightism is becoming more closely related to attitudes toward spending for social welfare spending, while rightism gets additionally more closely related to ecologism and policies related to refugees.

As in the case of all cross sectional comparisons of age cohorts, a strong Caveat is in place because any differences found may well stem from life cycle effects rather than from cleavages between historical generations. In the present case, the current erosion of party membership gives even rise to a third possible interpretation: that younger party members today represent a narrower, more selective social stratum characterized by a relatively high political commitment and ideological inclinations.

7. Conclusions

The aim of this paper was to explore the strength and causal determinants of ideological thinking within political parties. By focusing on local party sections, we concentrate on a usually much neglected intermediate layer of the political system: located between the total voting population (or party members) on the one hand and the "real" political elites on the other.

While the term "ideology" is used with many different meanings, most definitions stress that the concept refers to something like a "an organized collection of ideas"⁶ or "the integrated assertions, theories and aims that constitute a sociopolitical program."⁷

Empirical operationalizations are inevitably confronted with the fact that such "systemness" is a variable ranging between complete looseness to tightly crystallized structure, and that two dimensions of coupling have to be analytically separated:

- 1) "Horizontal couplings" as they are manifested in intercorrelations between different beliefs or opinions:
- 2) "Vertical couplings" as they appear in the degree to which specific beliefs are related to more abstract concepts which are used for denoting encompassing views of society, politics, economy and culture: terms like "conservatism" or "liberalism", "fascism" or "socialism", "left" or "right"

On the basis of overwhelming empirical evidence, we started with the premise that by far the most salient ideological dimension in the Swiss political system – like in most other countries – is the polarity between "left" and "right".

Ideological thinking along these traditional lines has survived (or even been strengthened recently) for reasons associated with the increasing needs to "reduce complexity" in increasingly pluralistic, intransparent and volatile political systems: despite the fact that

⁶ Wikipedia (July 31 2008) <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ideology>

⁷ Merriam-Webster dictionary

- both poles have constantly been enriched with additional issues (not intrinsically related to each other);
- in comparison to the class struggle periods in the 19th and early 20th century, ideologies are less needed as tools for social consensus-building and collective actions.

Given the highly pluralized party systems in Switzerland (supported by a decentralized Federalism as well as by proportional election laws), it is not to be expected that this country is a forerunner in the emergence of de-ideologized “catch-all parties” (Kirchheimer 1965). However, the results presented above show shockingly high trends of ideologization at least on the left-center section of the spectrum, especially in the vertical dimension. Thus, more than 64 percent of the variance in LR-scale placements between 1 and 6 can be explained with out 15 issue predictors. By contrast, rightist ideologies are much less articulated, as the explanatory power of these same predictors (for scale values spreading between 5 and 10) doesn't surpass 18%. Leftist ideologization is particularly pronounced in German speaking (and least in Italian speaking) regions of Switzerland, while right wing ideology is on the same level in all parts of the country.

Urbanization stands out as a major *causal factor*: On both sides of the spectrum, ideological constraints are significantly higher in larger than in smaller communities, and more pronounced in suburban settings than in villages far from the next city. However, this effects seems to be largely due to the fact that more “political” interpretation of communal issues prevail in urban communities – so that size effects are dramatically reduced when “degree of politicization” is controlled. City size is also a *potent intervening variable*. Thus, only in rather small communities, it is found that ideologization correlates positively with the educational level and the modern occupational background of party members, with exposition of the local sections to the programs of supralocal mother parties, and with the number of other local parties with which they have to compete. Evidently, the politicization effects going along with larger populations and urbanization are sufficient causes of ideologization: overriding many other effects that are visible when such impacts are very weak.

In communities of given size, ideological thinking is more pronounced when parties possess a small share of political power (or none at all).

Finally, there is evidence that ideological constraints in party policy has increased since the late eighties of the last century, and that it may increase further because younger age cohorts are more prone to clustering issue positions tightly and for relating them closely to abstract notions of “left” and “right”.

The historian Michael Hunt (1990) defines ideology as “*an interrelated set of convictions or assumptions that reduces . . . complexities . . . to easily comprehensible terms and suggests appropriate ways of dealing with*” (them). As these needs for reducing complexities are certainly persisting – and most likely to increase in these times of multiplying issues and turbulent political processes – ideologies are likely to survive even when no basis for consistent ordering or deductive subsumption is existing. Especially on the left side of the spectrum, we see not only the persistence, but even the ongoing tightening of “heteromorphic” ideologies encompassing a multitude of issue stances not intrinsically related to each other, and not logically implicated in any consistent “Weltanschauung” or philosophical system. In contrast to classical socialism or liberalism, many items seem to be on an ad hoc basis, and they may easily be eliminated and substituted as a consequence of changing political fads and fashions. As an example, we may cite the stances of leftist parties toward atomic energy, which has changed from a supportive opinion (in the late 1950ies) to a sharply inimical position later on.

The focus on “complexity reduction” helps also to doubt all assertions that ideological thinking is a correlate of higher political knowledge and sophistication. To the contrary: it indicates

- a deplorable lack of pragmatism as it is often cultivated in cohesive sect-like groupings that have no access to political decisions;
- a deficit of autonomous thinking that may be associated with weak personality systems as well as with social conformity pressures (e.g. “group think” tendencies as they often exist within tightly integrated elites).

In contradiction to most conventional wisdom, we may tentatively conclude that political thinking is more “rational” at the peripheries than in the more central spheres of modern societies. It is in the smaller communities and among party members with traditional occupational backgrounds where there is most readiness to evaluate every issue on its own merits and to adapt opinions pragmatically to specific circumstances: irrespective of wider social pressures and ideological constraints.

The more we move from the countryside to the suburban belts and the larger cities, from farmers and the petty bourgeoisie to modern professionals and employees, and municipal to cantonal and national level of politics, the higher is the disposition to simplify such evaluation processes by assimilating whole bundles of opinions: like choosing among a very small number of prefabricated fashionable costumes. Thus, when an urban party section declares that it is against the promotion of Switzerland as a worldwide center of finance, we can predict with high certainty that it is also fighting against nuclear power plants and sharper laws against immigration and supporting new daycare facilities for kids as well as the entry of Switzerland to the EU – despite the fact that these issues are not intrinsically connected and not implicated by common encompassing principles, value or norms.

We may speculate about the many dysfunctional consequences resulting from this “pathology”: e. g.

- the trends toward free-wheeling overpolarized ideological discussions that may contribute much more to public media entertainment than to the solution of current political problems;
- the hesitance of supralocal parties to cooperate among each other and enter into coalitions (Hollmann 1981), and to adapt their policies pragmatically to changing circumstances, instead of clinging rigidly to preformulated positions.

Of course, it seems unrealistic to transfer this “local pragmatism” to the urban sphere and the national level, because too many cumbersome and time-consuming processes of reflection, discussion, communication and negotiation would be needed when a wide range of issues and many political actors are involved. Wherever “real politics” is at stake, the demand for ideological simplification will certainly remain high – and is likely to increase further in the future, because the rising number and volatility of political issues collides ever more sharply with the invariantly low individual and collective capacities to process information and generate consensual judgments. Increasing these capacities (e. g. by ingenious applications of digital communication technologies) may be the major key for improving the rationality of political processes at the levels where politics really takes place.

However, it is evident that functionalist theories provide only partial explanations because the interregional divergences (6.3) show that there also cultural factors. Thus, the rather dogmatic parties in German speaking regions may do well to “import” pragmatism by opening themselves up to influences from the other two regions.⁸

⁸ This strategy is illustrated by the Social democratic party which has changed to a more flexible course by electing the highly pragmatic Christian Levrat (a French speaking Swiss) as its leader (in 2007).

Literature

Adorno, T. W. / Frenkel-Brunswik, E. / Levinson, D. J./ Sanford, R. N. 1950. The authoritarian personality. New York: Harper.

Allport, Gordon W. 1962 The historical background of modern social psychology. In G. Lindzey, G. (ed.), Handbook of Social Psychology, Vol. 1, pp. 3–56. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Altemeyer, R. A. 1998 The other “authoritarian personality.” In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), Advances in experimental Social Psychology, Vol. 30, pp. 47–91). New York: Academic Press.

Anderson, J. A. 1984 Regression and ordered categorical variables. In: Journal of the Royal Statistical Society *B* 46, pp. 1–30.

Baldassari, Delia / Bearman Peter 2007. Dynamics of Political Polarization. In: American Sociological Review. 72, pp. 784-811.

Bell, Daniel 1979 The New Class: A Muddled Concept. In: Bruce-Riggs, B (ed.) The New Class? Transaction Books, New Brunswick N.J. 1979, pp. 169-190.

Berelson, Bernard R. / Paul F. Lazarsfeld, Lazarsfeld Paul F. / McPhee William N. 1954. Voting: A Study of Opinion Formation in a Presidential Campaign. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bishop, G. 2005 The illusion of public opinion. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Bobbio, Norberto 1996 Left and right. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.

Brand Karl Werner / Büsler Detlef / Rucht Dieter 1984 Bilanz und Perspektiven der neuen sozialen Bewegungen." In: Aufbruch in eine andere Gesellschaft. Neue soziale Bewegungen in der Bundesrepublik, Campus, Frankfurt/New York 1984, pp. 241-271.

Brint, Steven 1984 New-Class and Cumulative Trend Explanations of the Liberal Political Attitudes of Professionals. In: American Journal of Sociology 90, pp. 30-71.

Castles, Francis G., and Peter Mair. 1984. 'Left-Right Political Scales: Some 'Expert' Judgments'. In: European Journal of Political Research, 12, pp. 73-88.

Clark, Terry Nichols 1991 Are Social Classes Dying? In: International Sociology, 6, pp. 397-410.

Clark, Terry Nichols/Lipset, Seymour Martin/Rempel Michael 1993 The Declining Political Significance of Social Class. In: International Sociology 8/3, pp. 293-316.

Clark, Terry Nichols / Inglehart Ronald 1998. The New Political Culture: Changing Dynamics of Support for the Welfare State and Other Policies in Postindustrial Societies. In: Clark, Terry Nichols / Hoffmann-Martinot, Vincent (eds.). The New Political Culture, Boulder Co. Westview Press, pp. 9-72.

Colomer, Josepp M. and Luis E. Escatel. 2004. The Left-Right Dimension in Latin America. Mexico City: CIDE, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas. Working Papers, SDTEP 165.

Converse, Philip E. 1964 The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. In: Apter, David A. (ed.) Ideology of Discontent. Free Press, New York, pp. 206-261.

Downs, Anthony. 1957. An Economic Theory of Democracy. New York: Harper and Row.

Federico, Christopher M./Schneider, Monica C. 2007 Political Expertise and the Use of Ideology: Moderating Effects of Evaluative Motivation. In: *Public Opinion Quarterly* 71(2), pp. 221-252.

Freire, Andre 2006 Bringing Social Identities Back in: The Social Anchors of Left-Right Orientation in Western Europe. In: *International Political Science Review* 27; pp. 359-378.

Fuchs, Dieter/Klingemann, Hans-Dieter 1990. The Left-Right Schema. In M. Kent Jennings, Jan van Deth, et al., *Continuities in Political Action: A Longitudinal Study of Political Orientations in Three Western Democracies*. Berlin; New York: Walter de Gruyter, pp. 203-34.

Gabel, Matthew J. and John D. Huber. 2000 Putting Parties in Their Place: Inferring Party Left-Right Ideological Positions From Party Manifesto Data. In: *American Journal of Political Science* 44: pp. 94-103.

Gerring, J. 1997 Ideology: A definitional analysis. In: *Political Research Quarterly*, 50, pp. 957–994.

Geser Hans 1992 Links und Rechts, gauche et droite, sinistra e destra. Eine unverwüstliche Begriffspolarität im intersprachlichen Vergleich In: Eisner, Manuel/Fux Beat. *Poliische Sprache in der Schweiz*. Orell Füssli, Zürich, pp. 285-323.

Geser, Hans et. al. 1994 *Lokalparteien in der Schweiz*. Zürich: SEISMO

Geser Hans 2003 Sind Gemeindeangelegenheiten "politisch"? In: *Sociology in Switzerland: Vierzehn Jahre politischer Wandel*. Online Publikationen. http://geser.net/par/ges_04.pdf

Greenberg, J./Pyszczynski, T./Solomon, S./Rosenblatt, A./Veeder M./Kirkland, S./Lyon, D. 1990. Evidence for terror management theory: II. The effects of mortality salience on reactions to those who threaten or bolster the cultural worldview. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 308–318.

Gross, D. A. & Sigelman, L. 1984 Comparing party systems: A multidimensional approach, *Comparative Politics*: 463–479.

Hinich, Melvin J. and Michael C. Munger. 1994. *Ideology and the Theory of Political Choice*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: University Press.

Hix, Simon. 1999 *The Political System of the European Union*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Holler, W. 1981 Strukturprobleme der Kommunalverwaltung. In: Thränhardt, D. / Uppendahl H. (Hrsg.) *Alternativen lokaler Demokratie*. Hain Verlag, Königstein Ts: pp. 113-136.

Hunt, Michael H. 1990 Ideology. In: *The Journal of American History*, Vol. 77, No. 1 (Jun., 1990), pp. 108-115.

Inglehart Ronald/Klingemann Hans. D. 1976 Party Identification, Ideological preference and the Left-Right dimension among Western publics. In: Budge, Ian / Drewe Ivor / Farlie, Dennis (eds.) *Party Identification and Beyond*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 243-273.

Inglehart, Ronald 1984 The Changing Structure of Political Cleavages in Western Society. In R. Dalton, S.C. Flanagan and P.E. Beck (eds.), *Electoral Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies: Realignment or Dealignment?* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. pp. 25-69.

Inglehart, Ronald *Kultureller Umbruch. Wertewandel in der westlichen Welt*. Campus New York / Frankfurt 1989.

Janda, K. 1980 *Political parties: A crossnational survey*. New York: Free Press.

Johnson, Stephen D, and Tamney, Joseph B. 2001 Social Traditionalism and Economic Conservatism: Two Conservative Political Ideologies in the United States. In: *Journal of Social Psychology* 141, pp. 233–43.

Johnson, Benton 1967 Theology and the position of pastors on public issues. In: *American Sociological Review*, 32: pp. 433-42.

Jost, J.T./Glaser, J./Kruglanski, A.W./Sulloway, F. 2003 Political conservatism as motivated social cognition. In: *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 339-375.

Jost, John T. 2006 The end of the end of ideology. In: *American Psychologist*, 61, 651–670.

Jost, John T./Nosek, Brian A./Gosling, Samuel D. 2008 Ideology: Its Resurgence in Social, Personality, and Political Psychology. In: *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 3 (2) , pp. 126–136.

Judd Charles M. and Downing James W 1990 Political Expertise and the Development of Attitude Consistency. In: *Social Cognition* 1990, 8, pp. 104–24.

Kemp, Roger L. 1999 Forms of local government; a handbook on city, county and regional options. McFarland & Co.

Kessel Hans/Tischler Wolfgang 1984 Umweltbewusstsein. Oekologische Wertvorstellungen in westlichen Industrienationen. Sigma Verlag.

Kiecolt, K. Jill/Nelson Hart M 1988 The Structuring of Political Attitudes Among Liberal and Conservative protestants. In: *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 27 (1), pp. 48-59

Kim Heemin and Richard C. Fording 1998 Voter ideology in Western democracies, 1946-1989 In: *European Journal of Political Research* 18, pp. 221-239.

Kirchheimer, Otto 1965 Der Wandel des westeuropäischen Parteiensystems. In: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift*, 6/1, pp. 20-41.

Kirton, M. J. 1978 Wilson and Patterson's Conservatism Scale: A shortened alternative form. In: *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 17, pp. 319-323.

Kitschelt, Herbert/Hellemans Staf 1990 The Left-Right Semantics and the New Politics Cleavage. In: *Comparative Political Studies* 23, pp.210-38.

Kitschelt, Herbert. 1994. The Transformation of European Social Democracy. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Knight, K. 1999 Liberalism and conservatism. In J. P. Robinson, P. R. Shaver, & L. S. Wrightsman (eds.), *Measures of political attitudes*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, pp. 59–158.

Knutsen, Oddbjorn. 1995 Value Orientations, Political Conflicts and Left-Right Identification: A Comparative Study. In: *European Journal of Political Research* 28, pp. 63-93.

Klingemann, Hans D. 1979. The Background of Ideological Conceptualization. In: Samuel H. Barnes and Max Kaase, et al. *Political Action: Mass Participation in Five Western Democracies*, chapter 9. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.

Knutsen, Oddbjørn. 1998. Europeans Move Towards the Center: A Comparative Longitudinal Study of Left-Right Self-Placement in Europe. In: *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 10: 292-316.

Knutsen, O. and Scarbrough, E. 1995 Cleavage Politics, In J.W. van Deth and E. Scarbrough (eds), *The Impact of Values*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.160-196.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1989 New Social Movements and the New Class in the Netherlands. In: *American Journal of Sociology* 94, pp. 1078-1116.

- Kroh Martin 2005** Surveying the Left-Right Dimension: The Choice of a Response Format. DIW Berlin – German Institute for Economic Research, Socio-Economic Panel Study (SOEP) <http://www.diw.de/deutsch/produkte/publikationen/diskussionspapiere/docs/papers/dp491.pdf>
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Webster, D. M. 1996** Motivated closing of the mind: “Seizing” and “freezing.” In: Psychological Review, 103, pp. 263–283.
- Labowitz, S. 1970** The assignment of numbers to rank order categories. In: American Sociological Review 35, pp. 515–524.
- Laponce, I.A. 1981.** Left and Right. The Topography of Political Perceptions. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Lambert, Ronald D. /Curtis, James E. /Brown, Steven D./ Kay, Barry D 1986** In Search of Left/Right Beliefs in the Canadian Electorate. In: Canadian Journal of Political Science / Revue canadienne de science politique, 19/3, pp. 541-563.
- Laver, M./Budge, I., eds. 1993.** Party policy and coalition government in Western Europe. London: Macmillan.
- Lavine Howard/Thomsen Cynthia J./Gonzales Marti H. 1997** The Development of Interattitudinal Consistency: The Shared Consequences Model. In: Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 72, pp. 735–749.
- Lerner Robert/Nagai, Althea K./Rothman Stanley 1991** Elite vs. Mass Opinion: Another look at a Classic Relationship. International Journal of Public Opinion Research 3/1, pp. 1-31.
- Lipset, Seymour M./ Lazarsfeld, Paul F./ Barton, Allen H./ Linz, Juan 1954.** The Psychology of Voting: An Analysis of Political Behavior. In Lindzey, Gardner (ed.) Handbook of Social Psychology (Vol. 2), Cambridge: Addison-Wesley, pp. 1124-1175.
- Mair, Peter. 1997.** Party System Change: Approaches and Interpretations. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- McCarthy, Nolan,/Keith T. Poole, Keith T./Rosenthal Howard 2006.** Polarized America. The Dance of Ideology and Unequal Riches. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- McGuire, W. J. 1985** Attitudes and attitude change. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), Handbook of Social Psychology (pp. 233–346). New York: Random House.
- McGuire, W.J. 1999** The vicissitudes of attitudes in social psychology. In W.J. McGuire (ed.), Constructing social psychology: Creative and critical processes. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, pp. 325–347. (Original work published 1985)
- McKelvey, Richard D. and Peter C. Ordeshook. 1986.** Information, Electoral Equilibria, and the Democratic Ideal. In: The Journal of Politics, Vol. 48, No. 4: 909-937.
- Nie, Norman H./Andersen, Krist 1974** Mass Belief System Revisited: Political Change and Attitude Structure. In: The Journal of Politics 36, pp. 540-572.
- Neuman, W. Russell. 1986.** The Paradox of Mass Politics: Knowledge and Opinion in the American Electorate. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Poguntke, Thomas. 1987** Grün-alternative Parteien: Eine neue Farbe in westlichen Parteiensystemen. In: Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen 3, pp. 369-382.
- Popkin, Samuel L. 1994.** The Reasoning Voter: Communication and Persuasion in Presidential Campaigns. Second Edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Potter, Corrie 2001 Left-Right Self-Placement in Western Europe: What responses and nonresponses indicate. Paper prepared for the Political Behavior Group, U. W. Madison.
<http://www.polisci.wisc.edu/~behavior/papers/potter2001.pdf>

Rossiter, C. 1968 Conservatism. In: Sills, D. (ed.), *International encyclopedia of the social sciences* New York: Macmillan & Free Press, pp. 290–295.

Sani, Giacomo/Sartori, Giovanni 1983. Polarization, Fragmentation and Competition in Western Democracies. In Hans Daalder and Peter Mair (eds.) *Western European Party Systems: Continuity and Change*, Beverly Hills/London, Sage, Chapter 11.

Scharpf, Fritz 1996 Negative and Positive Integration in the Political Economy of European Welfare States. In: Marks, Gary / Scharpf, Fritz / Schmitter Philippe / Streeck, Wolfgang (eds.) *Governance in the European Union*, London: Sage Publications, pp. 15-39.

Sniderman, Paul M./Brody, Richard A/Tetlock, Philip 1991 Reasoning and Choice: Explorations in Political Psychology. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Tomkins Silvan S. 1963 Left and Right: A Basic Dimension of Ideology and Personality. In: White Robert W. (ed.) *The Study of Lives*. Chicago: Atherton, pp. 388–411.

Vidich, A. J./Bensman, J. 1968 Small Town in Mass Society. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Warwick, P. 1992. Ideological diversity and government survival in Western European parliamentary democracies. In: *Comparative Political Studies* 25: pp. 332–361.

Weakliem, D. 1991 The two Lefts? Occupation and Party Choice in France, Italy and the Netherlands. In: *American Journal of sociology* 96, pp. 1327-1361.

Wilson, G. D. (ed.). 1973. *The psychology of conservatism*. London: Academic Press.

Zaller John 1992 *The Nature and Origins of Mass Opinion*, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Zechmeister, Elisabeth 2005 What's Left and Who's Right in Mexican Politics? A Q-Method Approach to Understanding Ideological Labels in Mexican Politics. Paper prepared for presentation at the Conference on Surveys and Methods in Mexican Politics, sponsored by the Center for U.S.-Mexican Studies at the University of California, San Diego, June 17, 2005.